

Draft Butte Priority Soils Operable Unit Groundwater Monitoring Quality Assurance Project Plan and Sampling and Analysis Plan both dated March 13, 2019

EPA REGION 8 QA DOCUMENT REVIEW CROSSWALK

QAPP/FSP/SAP for: <i>(check appropriate box)</i> <input type="checkbox"/> GRANTEE <input type="checkbox"/> CONTRACTOR <input type="checkbox"/> EPA <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Entity <i>(grantee, contract, EPA AO, EPA Program, Other)</i> Atlantic Richfield	Regulatory Authority and/or Funding Mechanism	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 CFR 1500 for Grantee/Cooperative Agreements <input type="checkbox"/> 48 CFR 46 for Contracts <input type="checkbox"/> Interagency Agreement (FFA, USGS,) <input type="checkbox"/> EPA/Court Order <input type="checkbox"/> EPA Program Funding <input type="checkbox"/> EPA Program Regulation <input type="checkbox"/> EPA CIO 2105																				
Document Title <i>[Note: Title will be repeated in Header]</i>	Draft Butte Priority Soils Operable Unit Groundwater Monitoring Quality Assurance Project Plan and Sampling and Analysis Plan both dated March 13, 2019																						
QAPP/FSP/SAP Preparer	TREC, Inc.																						
Period of Performance <i>(of QAPP/FSP/SAP)</i>	2019	Date Submitted for Review	03/13/2019																				
EPA Project Officer EPA Project Manager	Nikia Greene		PO Phone # PM Phone #																				
QA Program Reviewer or Approving Official	Nikia Greene	Date of Review	7/1/19																				
Documents Submitted for QAPP Review (QA Reviewer must complete): 1. QA Document(s) submitted for review: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>QA Document</th> <th>Document Date</th> <th>Document Stand-alone</th> <th>Document with QAPP</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>QAPP</td> <td></td> <td>Yes / No</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FSP</td> <td></td> <td>Yes / No</td> <td>Yes / No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SAP</td> <td></td> <td>Yes / No</td> <td>Yes / No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SOP(s)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Yes / No</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		QA Document	Document Date	Document Stand-alone	Document with QAPP	QAPP		Yes / No		FSP		Yes / No	Yes / No	SAP		Yes / No	Yes / No	SOP(s)			Yes / No	Notes for Document Submittals: 1. A QAPP written by a Grantee, EPA, or Federal Partner <u>must include</u> for review: Work Plan(WP) / Statement of Work (SOW) / Program Plan (PP) / Research Proposal (RP) and funding mechanism 2. A QAPP written by Contractor <u>must include</u> for review: a) Copy of Task Order Work Assignment/SOW b) Reference to a hard or electronic copy of the contractor's approved QMP c) Copy of Contract SOW if no QMP has been approved d) Copy of EPA/Court Order, if applicable e) The QA Review must determine (with the EPA CO or PO) if a QARF was completed for the environmental data activity described in the QAPP. 3. a. Field Sampling Plan (FSP) and/or Sampling & Analyses Plan (SAP) must include the Project QAPP <u>or must</u> be a stand-alone QA document that <u>contain all QAPP required elements</u> (Project Management, Data Generation/Acquisition, Assessment and Oversight, and Data Validation and Usability). b. SOPs must be submitted with a QA document that <u>contains all QAPP required elements</u> .	
QA Document	Document Date	Document Stand-alone	Document with QAPP																				
QAPP		Yes / No																					
FSP		Yes / No	Yes / No																				
SAP		Yes / No	Yes / No																				
SOP(s)			Yes / No																				
2. WP/SOW/TO/PP/RP Date _____ WP/SOW/TO/RP Performance Period _____ 3. QA document consistent with the: WP/SOW/PP for grants? <u>Yes / No</u> SOW/TO for contracts? <u>Yes / No</u> 4. QARF signed by R8 QAM <u>Yes / No / NA</u> Funding Mechanism <u>IA / contract / grant / NA</u> Amount _____																							

Draft Butte Priority Soils Operable Unit Groundwater Monitoring Quality Assurance Project Plan and Sampling and Analysis Plan both dated March 13, 2019

Summary of Comments (*highlight significant concerns/issues*):

1. Attach this version (i.e., Update #6, July 2017) of the document review crosswalk to the QAPP. The Page/Section references are to the QAPP unless specified as a SAP section.
2. After reviewing the SAP and the QAPP, EPA believes that future ground water sampling documents should be submitted in a single document — a stand-alone QAPP. Reviewing two documents containing much of the same information makes the review more difficult.
3. The Atlantic Richfield must address the comments in the Summary of Comments, as well as those identified in the Comment section(s) that includes a “Response (date)” and Resolved (date)”.

Element	Acceptable Yes/No/NA	Page/ Section	Comments
A. Project Management			
A1. Title and Approval Sheet			
a. Contains project title	Y	1 st page	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
b. Date and revision number line (for when needed)	Y	i	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
c. Indicates organization’s name	Y	cover and i	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
d. Date and signature line for organization’s project manager	Y	i	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
e. Date and signature line for organization’s QA manager	Y	i	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
f. Other date and signatures lines, as needed	Y	i	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
A2. Table of Contents			
a. Lists QA Project Plan information sections	Y	v-vii	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
b. Document control information indicated	Y	v-vii	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
A3. Distribution List			
Includes all individuals who are to receive a copy of the QA Project Plan and identifies their organization	Y	ii-iv	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
A4. Project/Task Organization			
a. Identifies key individuals involved in all major aspects of the project, including contractors	N	2.1	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
b. Discusses their responsibilities	Y	2.1	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
c. Project QA Manager position indicates independence from unit generating data	Y	2.1	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
d. Identifies individual responsible for maintaining the official, approved QA Project Plan	Y	2.1	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
e. Organizational chart shows lines of authority and reporting responsibilities	Y	Figure 1	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
A5. Problem Definition/Background			

Draft Butte Priority Soils Operable Unit Groundwater Monitoring Quality Assurance Project Plan and Sampling and Analysis Plan both dated March 13, 2019

a. States decision(s) to be made, actions to be taken, or outcomes expected from the information to be obtained	N	1.0	EPA: In Section 1.0, update the reference to the EPA Region 8 Quality Assurance Document Review Crosswalk checklist to the July 2017 version. Also, remove the reference to the Uniform Federal Policy for QAPPs in the second paragraph. This document is not in the format of a UFP-QAPP. (6/7/19).
b. Clearly explains the reason (site background or historical context) for initiating this project	Y	2.2	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
c. Identifies regulatory information, applicable criteria, action limits, etc. necessary to the project	Y	2.4.1, Table 2	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
A6. Project/Task Description			
a. Summarizes work to be performed, for example, measurements to be made, data files to be obtained, etc., that support the project's goals	Y	2.3	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
b. Provides work schedule indicating critical project points, e.g., start and completion dates for activities such as sampling, analysis, data or file reviews, and assessments	Y	2.3, SAP Tables 1, 3, and 4	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
c. Details geographical locations to be studied, including maps where possible	Y	SAP 2.4.1, Step 4, and SAP Figures 1, 2, and 3	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
d. Discusses resource and time constraints, if applicable	Y	SAP 2.4.1, Step 4	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
A7. Quality Objectives and Criteria			
a. Identifies - performance/measurement criteria for all information to be collected and acceptance criteria for information obtained from previous studies, - including project action limits and laboratory detection limits and - range of anticipated concentrations of each parameter of interest	Y	2.4.1, Step 1 Tables 1, 2, 4,	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
b. Discusses precision	Y	2.4.2, Precision	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
c. Addresses bias	Y	2.4.2, Accuracy/Bias	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
d. Discusses representativeness	Y	2.4.2, Representativeness	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
e. Identifies the need for completeness	Y	2.4.2	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
f. Describes the need for comparability	Y	2.4.2	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).

Draft Butte Priority Soils Operable Unit Groundwater Monitoring Quality Assurance Project Plan and Sampling and Analysis Plan both dated March 13, 2019

g. Discusses desired method sensitivity	Y	2.4.2	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
A8. Special Training/Certifications			
a. Identifies any project personnel specialized training or certifications	Y	2.5	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
b. Discusses how this training will be provided	Y	2.5	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
c. Indicates personnel responsible for assuring training/certifications are satisfied	Y	2.5	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
d. identifies where this information is documented	Y	2.5	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
A9. Documentation and Records			
a. Identifies report format and summarizes all data report package information	Y	2.6.5 & 4.3	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
b. Lists all other project documents, records, and electronic files that will be produced	Y	2.6	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
c. Identifies where project information should be kept and for how long	Y	2.6	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
d. Discusses back up plans for records stored electronically	Y	2.6	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
e. States how individuals identified in A3 will receive the most current copy of the approved QA Project Plan, identifying the individual responsible for this	Y	2.6.7	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
B. Data Generation/Acquisition			
B1. Sampling Process Design (Experimental Design)			
a. Describes and justifies design strategy, indicating size of the area, volume, or time period to be represented by a sample	Y	3.1	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
b. Details the type and total number of sample types/matrix or test runs/trials expected and needed	Y	3.1.1, Table 1, 2019 BPSOU GW SAP	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
c. Indicates where samples should be taken, how sites will be identified/located	Y	3.1.1, QAPP Figure 2, 2019 BPSOU GW SAP Figures 1-3 and Tables 3-4	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
d. Discusses what to do if sampling sites become inaccessible	Y	3.1.1	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).

Draft Butte Priority Soils Operable Unit Groundwater Monitoring Quality Assurance Project Plan and Sampling and Analysis Plan both dated March 13, 2019

e. Identifies project activity schedules such as each sampling event, times samples should be sent to the laboratory, etc.	Y	3.1.1	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
f. Specifies what information is critical and what is for informational purposes only	Y	3.1.1	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
g. Identifies sources of variability and how this variability should be reconciled with project information	Y	3.1.1	EPA: No comments (6/7/19).
B2. Sampling Methods			
a. Identifies all sampling SOPs by number, date, and regulatory citation, indicating sampling options or modifications to be taken	Y	3.2.1, Table 7	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
b. Indicates how each sample/matrix type should be collected	Y	3.2.2	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
c. If in situ monitoring, indicates how instruments should be deployed and operated to avoid contamination and ensure maintenance of proper data	Y	3.2.2	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
d. If continuous monitoring, indicates averaging time and how instruments should store and maintain raw data, or data averages	Y	3.2.2.1	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
e. Indicates how samples are to be homogenized, composited, split, or filtered, if needed	Y	3.2.2.2, SOPS	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
f. Indicates what sample containers and sample volumes should be used	Y	3.2.2.2, Table 8	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
g. Identifies whether samples should be preserved and indicates methods that should be followed	Y	3.2.2.2, Table 8	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
h. Indicates whether sampling equipment and samplers should be cleaned and/or decontaminated, identifying how this should be done and by-products disposed of	Y	3.2.2.2, SOPs	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
i. Identifies any equipment and support facilities needed	Y	3.2.3	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
j. Addresses actions to be taken when problems occur, identifying individual(s) responsible for corrective action and how this should be documented	Y	4.1	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
B3. Sample Handling and Custody			

Draft Butte Priority Soils Operable Unit Groundwater Monitoring Quality Assurance Project Plan and Sampling and Analysis Plan both dated March 13, 2019

a. States maximum holding times allowed from sample collection to extraction and/or analysis for each sample type and, for in-situ or continuous monitoring, the maximum time before retrieval of information	Y	3.3.1, Table 1	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
b. Identifies how samples or information should be physically handled, transported, and then received and held in the laboratory or office (including temperature upon receipt)	Y	3.3.2	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
c. Indicates how sample or information handling and custody information should be documented, such as in field notebooks and forms, identifying individual responsible	Y	3.3.3	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
d. Discusses system for identifying samples, for example, numbering system, sample tags and labels, and attaches forms to the plan	N	3.3.4	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
e. Identifies chain-of-custody procedures and includes form to track custody	Y	3.3.5	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
B4. Analytical Methods			
a. Identifies all analytical SOPs (field, laboratory and/or office) that should be followed by number, date, and regulatory citation, indicating options or modifications to be taken, such as sub-sampling and extraction procedures	Y	2.4.2, 3.4.1, 3.4.2, Table 1	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
b. Identifies equipment or instrumentation needed	Y	3.4.3	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
c. Specifies any specific method performance criteria	N/A	N/A	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
d. Identifies procedures to follow when failures occur, identifying individual responsible for corrective action and appropriate documentation	Y	3.5.2, Tables 3, 5, 6, 4.1	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
e. Identifies sample disposal procedures	Y	3.4.4	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
f. Specifies laboratory turnaround times needed	Y	5.1.3, Appendix D	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
g. Provides method validation information and SOPs for nonstandard methods	N/A	N/A	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
B5. Quality Control			
a. For each type of sampling, analysis, or measurement technique, identifies QC activities which should be used, for example, blanks, spikes, duplicates, etc., and at what frequency	Y	3.5.1, 3.5.2	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).

Draft Butte Priority Soils Operable Unit Groundwater Monitoring Quality Assurance Project Plan and Sampling and Analysis Plan both dated March 13, 2019

b. Details what should be done when control limits are exceeded, and how effectiveness of control actions will be determined and documented	Y	3.5.2, Tables 3, 5, 6, Appendix E Exhibit 1	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
c. Identifies procedures and formulas for calculating applicable QC statistics, for example, for precision, bias, outliers and missing data	Y	2.4.2, Table 4	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
B6. Instrument/Equipment Testing, Inspection, and Maintenance			
a. Identifies field and laboratory equipment needing periodic maintenance, and the schedule for this	Y	3.6.1, 3.6.2	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
b. Identifies testing criteria	Y	3.6.1, 3.6.2	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
c. Notes availability and location of spare parts	Y	3.2.3	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
d. Indicates procedures in place for inspecting equipment before usage	Y	3.6.1, 3.6.2	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
e. Identifies individual(s) responsible for testing, inspection and maintenance	Y	3.6.1, 3.6.2	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
f. Indicates how deficiencies found should be resolved, re-inspections performed, and effectiveness of corrective action determined and documented	Y	3.2, 3.6.1, 3.6.2, 4.1	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
B7. Instrument/Equipment Calibration and Frequency			
a. Identifies equipment, tools, and instruments that should be calibrated and the frequency for this calibration	Y	3.7	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
b. Describes how calibrations should be performed and documented, indicating test criteria and standards or certified equipment	Y	3.7	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
c. Identifies how deficiencies should be resolved and documented	Y	3.6.1, 3.7, 4.1	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
B8. Inspection/Acceptance for Supplies and Consumables			
a. Identifies critical supplies and consumables for field and laboratory, noting supply source, acceptance criteria, and procedures for tracking, storing and retrieving these materials	Y	3.8	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
b. Identifies the individual(s) responsible for this	Y	3.8	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
B9. Use of Existing Data (Non-direct Measurements)			
a. Identifies data sources, for example, computer databases or literature files, or models that should be accessed and used	N/A	N/A	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).

Draft Butte Priority Soils Operable Unit Groundwater Monitoring Quality Assurance Project Plan and Sampling and Analysis Plan both dated March 13, 2019

b. Describes the intended use of this information and the rationale for their selection, i.e., its relevance to project	N/A	N/A	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
c. Indicates the acceptance criteria for these data sources and/or models	N/A	N/A	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
d. Identifies key resources/support facilities needed	N/A	N/A	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
e. Describes how limits to validity and operating conditions should be determined, for example, internal checks of the program and Beta testing	N/A	N/A	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
B10. Data Management			
a. Describes data management scheme from field to final use and storage	Y	3.9	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
b. Discusses standard record-keeping and tracking practices, and the document control system or cites other written documentation such as SOPs	N	3.9	EPA: Please update the reference to the BPSOU data management plan to cite the final version dated 6/29/18. (6/10/19).
c. Identifies data handling equipment/procedures that should be used to process, compile, analyze, and transmit data reliably and accurately	Y	3.9	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
d. Identifies individual(s) responsible for this	N	3.9	EPA: Identify individual(s) responsible for data management for this GW QAPP (6/10/19).
e. Describes the process for data archival and retrieval	N	3.9	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
f. Describes procedures to demonstrate acceptability of hardware and software configurations	N/A	N/A	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
g. Attaches checklists and forms that should be used	N/A	N/A	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
C. Assessment and Oversight			
C1. Assessments and Response Actions			
a. Lists the number, frequency, and type of assessment activities that should be conducted, with the approximate dates	Y	4.0	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
b. Identifies individual(s) responsible for conducting assessments, indicating their authority to issue stop work orders, and any other possible participants in the assessment process	Y	4.0, 4.1, 4.2	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
c. Describes how and to whom assessment information should be reported	Y	4.0, 4.1, 4.2	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).

Draft Butte Priority Soils Operable Unit Groundwater Monitoring Quality Assurance Project Plan and Sampling and Analysis Plan both dated March 13, 2019

d. Identifies how corrective actions should be addressed and by whom, and how they should be verified and documented	Y	4.1, 4.2	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
C2. Reports to Management			
a. Identifies what project QA status reports are needed and how frequently	Y	4.3	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
b. Identifies who should write these reports and who should receive this information	Y	4.3	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
D. Data Validation and Usability			
D1. Data Review, Verification, and Validation			
Describes criteria that should be used for accepting, rejecting, or qualifying project data	Y	5.2.2	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
D2. Verification and Validation Methods			
a. Describes process for data verification and validation, providing SOPs and indicating what data validation software should be used, if any	Y	5.2.2	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
b. Identifies who is responsible for verifying and validating different components of the project data/information, for example, chain-of-custody forms, receipt logs, calibration information, etc.	Y	5.1.1, 5.1.2, 5.2.2	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
c. Identifies issue resolution process, and method and individual responsible for conveying these results to data users	Y	5.1.1, 5.1.2	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
d. Attaches checklists, forms, and calculations	Y	Appendix E	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
D3. Reconciliation with User Requirements			
a. Describes procedures to evaluate the uncertainty of the validated data	Y	5.2.1	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).
b. Describes how limitations on data use should be reported to the data users	Y	5.2.1, 5.2.2	EPA: No comments (6/10/19).